

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,712	03/05/2002	Yoshio Tsukahara	OT-4607	2569	
7590 03/26/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Randy G Henley			CRAWFORD, GENE O		
Otis Elevator Company Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ten Farm Springs			3651		
Farmington, CT 06032			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e/ •		1
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/070,712	TSUKAHARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gene O. Crawford	3651
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward 	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/070,712 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischer.

The escalator step disclosed by Fischer includes all the claimed features and in particular includes a tread 2 and a riser 11 extending downward from a rear edge of the step, the step including a non-slip surface 3, 40 of a fixed length and prescribed width mounted to the rear edge of the step; and the non-slip surface is comprised of a synthetic resin (i.e. rigid, slightly resilient plastic) formed with cleats conforming to the step tread (column 5, lines 53-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer as applied to claims 2 and 3 above, and further in view of Saito et al.

With regard to claim 4, Fischer includes all the claimed features but does not disclose a serrated irregular part formed on the top surface of the cleats of the non-slip

Application/Control Number: 10/070,712 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

surface. However, Saito et al. discloses the broad teaching of providing cleats for the surfaces of an escalator step with serrated surfaces in the longitudinal or transverse direction of the cleat top surface (figures 2, 3, 7A, 8, 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the top surfaces of the cleats of the anti-slip surface of Fischer include serrated surfaces to facilitate an anti-slip surface and provide strengthening and wear resistance to the top portion of the cleats as taught by Saito et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 1 is allowed.
- 6. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: an escalator step including the unique features of 'cleats of the non-slip surface being formed to be at a height higher than the tread' and/or 'the non-slip surface being fastened to a reinforcing plate that is fixed within a cleat cutout part of the step' in combination with the rest of the claim language is not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art.
- The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: an escalator 8. step having a riser including the unique features of 'the riser having a toothed surface made of cleats with one or more grooves formed in longitudinal direction on the surface

of each of the cleats' in combination with the rest of the claim language is not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed February 23, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the Fischer reference does not disclose the nosing mounted at the rear edge of its step is a non-slip surface. However, it appears that the only difference between the Fischer reference and applicant's claimed invention is the use of the term "non-slip surface", in that the nosing disclosed by Fischer is made of the type of material that applicant claims to constitute a non-slip surface, that material being a synthetic resin (column 5, lines 53-55).

In response to applicant's argument that the Fischer reference discloses the nosing as a warning strip and not a non-slip surface, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Hence claims 2-4 stand rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,712 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gene O. Crawford whose telephone number is 703/305-9733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on 703/308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

ŕ

Application/Control Number: 10/070,712 Page 6

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3651